January 2008

Behested Payments		
Jim Palmer Tustin City Council Dated: January 17, 2008 File Number I-07-176	Staff advised city council member who is also a full-time president of a 501(c)(3) non-profit corporation that any donations to the non-profit that are \$5,000 or more in the aggregate and made as his behest would be reportable by the city council member as behested payments. Donations made to the non-profit that are solely for a charitable purpose are neither gifts nor contributions per the facts as stated.	

Campaign		
Donald Z. Rogers Dated: January 25, 2008	Provided a local committee was redesignated according	
File Number A-08-001	to conditions outlined in Regulation 18521, campaign funds raised into the account for reelection may be used to repay	
	debt incurred by the committee for the previous election.	

Conflicts of Interest		
Mary Craton	City Attorney sought advice as to whether	
Canyon Lake City Council Dated: January 3, 2008 File Number I-07-193	councilmember whose personal residence is more than 500	
	feet from a large-scale development may participate in	
	decisions involving a survey and plan area involving the	
	project. Requestor advised that the financial effect of the	
	governmental decision on the official's real property is	
	presumed not to be material. However, even if the	
	councilmember has a disqualifying conflict of interest in the	
	development decisions, the facts provided indicate that the	
	public generally exception for small jurisdictions may apply.	
Jan Horton	A city council member requested information regarding	
Yorba Linda City Council Dated: January 14, 2008	a potential conflict of interest. The city councilmember's	
File Number I-07-195	residence is within 500 feet of one section of a redevelopment	
The Humber 1 of 190	project area. On this follow-up letter, Commission staff	
	advised that the councilmember has a conflict of interest	
	regarding those decisions affecting the project area within 500	
	feet of her house. For all other decisions, and those that are	
	not inextricably linked to the aforementioned project area, she	
	may vote. Staff advised that for each decision before the	
	council, the councilwoman should apply the conflicts	
	analysis.	

TD TZ 0.4	
Tom Kupfrian	An employee of a city may not participate in making
Anaheim City	governmental decisions regarding a historic district if it is
Dated: January 29, 2008	reasonably foreseeable that the decisions will have any
File Number I-07-197	financial effect on his economic interests: real property, a
	business entity and possibly, sources of income. He owns two
	7 = 7
	properties, one of which is within the district and another that
	is within 500 feet of the district. He has a business entity
	economic interest in one of the properties because he jointly
	owns it with another person as rental income. When two or
	more individuals own real property for rental purposes, they
	have a joint venture that is a "business entity" for purposes of
	conflict-of-interest analysis.
James C. Harrison	·
California Institute for	An employer of a public official's former spouse would
Regenerative Medicine	remain a potentially disqualifying "source of income" under
Dated: January 17, 2008	the Act even thought the marriage has subsequently been
File Number I-07-200	annulled.
Jerry Bean	A councilmember may not make, participate in making
Redlands City Council	or influence a government decision, even if it is only one item
Dated: January 17, 2008	on a consent calendar. In addition, the councilmember must
File Number A-08-003	· ·
	identify each type of economic interest involved in the
	decision as well as details of the economic interest on the
	record of the meeting. However, Section 87105 does not
	require the councilmember to leave the room since the
	decision is on a consent calendar.
Jason Tiffany	Informal assistance explaining that, on the facts
Midway Heights County	presented, the District Manager of a public Water District may
Water District	participate in decisions relating to merger with a private water
Dated: January 16, 2008	company if it is not reasonably foreseeable that the merger
File Number I-08-007	
	would have a material effect on the official's personal
	finances. Board members of the Water District may also
	participate in such decisions if it is not reasonably foreseeable
	that the merger would have a material effect on their
	economic interests. The determination of whether it is
	reasonably foreseeable that the merger decision will have a
	material financial effect upon the board members' economic
	interest present factual questions that each official must
	decide from the information reasonably available to him or
	•
MC-11/F	her at the time of the decision.
Michael Termini	A city council member is advised that he may not return
Capitola City Council	income received in order to avoid a potential conflict of
Dated: January 8, 2008 File Number A-07-198	interest in participating in a governmental decision involving a
ruc Number A-U/-170	source of income economic interest.
<u> </u>	

Gift

Dr. KimOahn Nguyen-Lam Garden Grove Unified School District Dated: January 25, 2008 File Number A-07-199 Attorney sought advice regarding whether donors may pay legal fees as a gift to a school board member, where the lawsuit is unrelated to and does not arise out of the board member's activities, duties, and status as a candidate. Requestor was advised that donors may make payments for legal fees owed by the board member. However, the payments for personal legal fees are considered reportable gifts and gifts from reportable donors are subject to the \$390 annual gift limit. In addition, the boardmember must not participate in any governmental decision that will materially affect any donor of the gifts totaling \$390 or more and received during the 12-month period prior to the government decision.

Revolving Door		
Ingrid Fermin	The Act's post-governmental employment restrictions	
California Horse Racing Board Dated: A-08-002 File Number A-08-002	do not prohibit a former state agency designated employee	
	from working for her former agency as an independent	
	contractor. The individual was notified, however, that other	
	restrictions outside the Act may apply.	

January 2008 FPPC Bulletin Juanita G. Lira